# STATE OF VERMONT PUBLIC SERVICE BOARD

Docket No. 7741

Petition of Vermont Transco LLC, and Vermont	)
Electric Power Company, Inc., for authority,	)
pursuant to 30 V.S.A. § 248a, to install wireless	)
communications facilities in Westfield, Vermont,	)
as part of a statewide radio project	)

Order entered: July 7, 2011

# **I.** Introduction

In this Order, the Vermont Public Service Board ("Board") approves the application filed on June 2, 2011, by Vermont Transco LLC, and Vermont Electric Power Company, Inc. (collectively, "VELCO" or the "Petitioners"), pursuant to 30 V.S.A. § 248a, and the Board's Procedures Order ("Procedures Order"), and grants the Petitioners a certificate of public good ("CPG") authorizing the upgrade of telecommunications facilities located in the Town of Westfield, Vermont.

#### II. BACKGROUND

This case involves a petition and prefiled testimony filed by the Petitioners on June 2, 2011, requesting that the Board issue a CPG, pursuant to 30 V.S.A. § 248a, authorizing the upgrade of telecommunications facilities in the Town of Westfield, Vermont. The filing indicates that the Petitioners have provided the notice to parties required by Section 248a and the Procedures Order.

On June 27, 2011, the Vermont Department of Public Service ("Department") filed a letter with the Board stating that the Project will promote the general good of the State consistent with 30 V.S.A. § 202c(b) and recommending that the Board approve the Project without additional hearings or investigation.

<sup>1.</sup> Order implementing standards and procedures for issuance of a certificate of public good for communications facilities pursuant to 30 V.S.A. § 248a, Order issued August 14, 2009.

The Board has determined that the petition and prefiled testimony have effectively addressed the applicable substantive criteria of 30 V.S.A. § 248a. Consequently, we find that the procedure authorized by § 248a is sufficient to satisfy the public interest, and no hearings are required.

### III. FINDINGS

- 1. The Project is part of VELCO's Statewide Radio Project ("SRP") that involves the creation of a private mobile communications network consisting of multiple wireless communications facilities. The facilities will be located throughout the state for purposes associated with utility installations, repair and maintenance of infrastructure and emergency response. Joint Panel pf. at 8-9.
- 2. The Project involves an antenna co-location on an existing support structure, the Sky Haus Tramway Building, located at the summit of the Jay Peak ski resort in Westfield, Vermont. The Tramway Building has been used as a co-location site for numerous antennas for over ten years. Joint Panel pf. at 4-5.
- 3. The Project includes the installation of two broadband directional antennas, approximately 4.25 feet by 4.25 feet, mounted at a height of 33.5 feet above ground level on the southwest face of the Tramway Building. The Project also includes the installation of operating equipment in the existing equipment room and associated cabling to the antennas. Joint Panel pf. at 5.
- 4. The modifications will not alter the overall height or width of the existing Tramway Building, nor will the Project result in earth disturbance. Finding 3, above; Joint Panel pf. at 5.
- 5. The Project will not have an undue adverse impact on the scenic or natural beauty of the area, aesthetics, historic sites or rare and irreplaceable natural areas. This finding is supported by findings 6 and 7, below.
- 6. The new antennas will be barely noticeable among the other larger antennas attached to the building. Therefore, the installation of the facilities will not have an undue adverse effect on aesthetics when viewed in the overall context of the site. Joint Panel pf. at 15-16; exh. JP-4-6.B.1.

7. The proposed installation of the facilities will not have undue adverse impacts to rare or irreplaceable natural areas or historic sites within the vicinity of the existing site where the Project will be located, because there will be no ground disturbance and because the new facilities will represent a barely discernable change from the existing facilities. Joint Panel pf. at 15-16.

# **IV. DISCUSSION and CONCLUSION**

Pursuant to 30 V.S.A. § 248a(a):

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, if the applicant seeks approval for the construction or installation of telecommunications facilities that are to be interconnected with other telecommunications facilities proposed or already in existence, the applicant may obtain a certificate of public good issued by the public service board under this section, which the board may grant if it finds that the facilities will promote the general good of the state consistent with subsection 202c(b) of this title. A single application may seek approval of one or more telecommunications facilities.

Pursuant to § 248a(j)(1), the Procedures Order defines a project of "limited size and scope" as a facility that:

(a) consists of an attachment to an existing structure that does not increase the height or width of the existing structure by more than twenty feet; or (b) does not exceed 135 feet in height and does not include road building or other earth disturbance exceeding 100 square feet, other than a temporary road or earth disturbance associated with construction or installation activities.

Further, pursuant to Section (L) of the Procedures Order, regarding projects of "limited size and scope:"

Unless the Board determines that an application raises a substantial issue, it shall issue a final determination on an application within 45 days of its filing . . . .

The proposed Project will consist of attachments to an existing structure that will not increase the overall height or width of the structure and will not result in any permanent earth disturbance. Therefore, the Project qualifies as a facility of "limited size and scope" as defined in the Board's Procedures Order governing the installation of wireless telecommunications facilities.

The Procedures Order provides that the Board, in its review of facilities of "limited size and scope," conditionally waives all criteria under 30 V.S.A. § 248a(c)(1), with the exception of 10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(8) (aesthetics, historic sites, rare and irreplaceable natural areas).

Based upon all of the above evidence, the petition does not raise a significant issue with respect to the relevant substantive criteria of 30 V.S.A. § 248a, the public interest is satisfied by the procedures authorized in 30 V.S.A. § 248a, and the proposed Project will promote the general good of the State.

## V. ORDER

It Is Hereby Ordered, Adjudged and Decreed by the Public Service Board of the State of Vermont that the installation and operation of communications facilities at the location specified in the above findings, by Vermont Transco LLC, and Vermont Electric Power Company, Inc., in accordance with the evidence and plans submitted in this proceeding, will promote the general good of the State of Vermont in accordance with 30 V.S.A. § 248a(a), and a certificate of public good to that effect shall be issued in this matter.

Dated at Montpelier, Vermont, this 7 <sup>th</sup> day	y <u>July</u>	, 2011.
s/James Volz	)	
	)	PUBLIC SERVICE
s/David C. Coen	)	Board
s/John D. Burke	) )	of Vermont
Office of the Clerk		
FILED: July 7, 2011		
ATTEST: s/Judith C. Whitney Deputy Clerk of the Board		

Notice to Readers: This decision is subject to revision of technical errors. Readers are requested to notify the Clerk of the Board (by e-mail, telephone, or in writing) of any apparent errors, in order that any necessary corrections may be made. (E-mail address: psb.clerk@state.vt.us)

Appeal of this decision to the Supreme Court of Vermont must be filed with the Clerk of the Board within thirty days. Appeal will not stay the effect of this Order, absent further Order by this Board or appropriate action by the Supreme Court of Vermont. Motions for reconsideration or stay, if any, must be filed with the Clerk of the Board within ten days of the date of this decision and order.